IBAR: External Evaluation (mid-term)

Organisational Arrangements

Jan Kohoutek, CHES Twente Seminar, 6-8 th May 2012

External mid-term evaluation of IBAR

Based on the accepted project proposal, WP4: "During 20th and 30th month of the project lifetime, the peer review will be organised ... Two experts in project evaluation will make assessment of the overall situation and project outcomes planed to be delivered to these dates" **Output:** External evaluation report on project activities finalised until 20th month of the project lifetime (September 2012), including recommendations for improvement

—> complex evaluation

External evaluation report:

- between 10-15 pages, incl. summary
- In English
- 3 major areas: Research, Management, Funding
- Each area further structured, e.g. Research into: degree of fulfilment of project research goals, rigor of research (adequacy of application of theory and methodology), relevance of outputs for target groups, nature and extent of output dissemination
 - Public and private part(s)

External evaluation report:

- Utilisation of all sorts of project outputs, including partial evaluations, activity progress reports and interim progress report
- Possibility for partners to send comments (see further)
- Major findings to be presented at Warsaw seminar

Authors of evaluation report:

- Arnošt Veselý, associate professor, vice-director of Institute of Sociological Studies, Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University. Research interests in educational policy, social science methodology and policy analysis. More than 8 years of experience with project evaluation
- Marta McCabe, PhD candidate and researcher at the School of Education, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Research interests in quality and equity in education, international and comparative studies

External evaluation report:

Possibility for all project partners to comment

Critical comments and suggestions what and how to

E-mail invitation to comment sent out in early June